On January 31, 2011, Defendant Matthew Stoen ("Stoen") filed a motion to dismiss Counts I, III, IV, V, VI, VIII, and IX of Plaintiffs' complaint. (Doc. No. 104.) On February 23, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a motion for leave to amend complaint in lieu of response to the motion to dismiss. (Doc. No. 23.) On February 25, 2011, the Court, recognizing that leave to amend is freely granted, issued a tentative order stating it was inclined to grant the motion

Defendants.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1 - 10cv2130

for leave to amend the complaint, which would render Defendant Stoen's motion to dismiss as moot. (Doc. No. 113.) The Court directed Defendants to file any opposition to the tentative order by March 4, 2011. (Id.) Defendants did not file any opposition. On March 8, 2011, the Court adopted its tentative order and granted Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend the complaint. (Doc. No. 121.) In light of granting leave to file an amended complaint, the Court DENIES WITHOUT PREJUDICE Defendant Stoen's motion to dismiss the original complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 15, 2011

MARILYN D. HUFF, District Gulge UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

- 2 - 10cv2130